NSTC: assessment 1 comments
general comments I

• firefly, bee, bat, bacteria, frogs, cuckoo, sparrows, fish, ...

• q1: algorithm and biological domain
  - not enough biological domain
  - not enough *critique* of the abstraction into an algorithm

• q2: class of problems
  - not enough explanation of *why* it works well
  - not enough *critique*

• q3: algorithm
  - not enough explanation (beyond pseudocode)
  - parameters
general comments II

• q4: specific problem
  ▪ “specific type of problem” issue
    ♦ “the TSP”, not a specific instance of the TSP
  ▪ representation and mapping
  ▪ parameter choices

• q5: similarities and differences
  ▪ statements, not discussions
  ▪ not enough critique

• bib
  ▪ I was expecting 7-12 references cited
next assessment (80%)

• 2 examples, same structure as here, but longer answers
  ▪ 20pp is a page *limit*, not target – don’t waffle!
    ▪ 10pp per example => ~ 2pp per question part
    ▪ as opposed to the ~ 1 page per question in assessment 1
  ▪ => (even) more depth / critique needed
    ▪ more references, too

• btw:
  ▪ number your answers, so I can see where one question ends, and the next begins!
  ▪ in: “a = b \ where a is *blah*, b is *blah*”, the “where” does not have a capital letter
  ▪ “its” does not have an apostrophe; “it’s” = “it is”
  ▪ READ THE QUESTION – ANSWER THE QUESTION